IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO : 14095 of 2005

Between:

Gyanoba, S/o. Peeraiah,
Shelgira Village, Manoor Mandal, Medak District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Sangareddy Division, Sangareddy, Medak District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Manoor Mandal, Manoor, Medak District.
3 The Joint Collector (CS) Medak District, at Sangareddy, Medak District.
4 The District Collector, Medak District, at Sangareddy

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly proceedings in Case No. CSA/4/Rev/2005 dated 13-6-2005 passed by the 4th respondent is illegal and consequently set aside the proceedings passed by the 1st respondent in ROC No. B2/1675/2004 dated 14-2-2005 cancelling the authorization of the petitioner and pass such other order or orders as this Honourable Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.R.DESHMUKH

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following :

ORAL ORDER

The petitioner's authorization for running the fair price shop in Shelgira village, Manoor Mandal, Medak District was cancelled by the 1st respondent-Revenue Divisional Officer, Sangareddy Division, Medak District by the order dated 14-02-2005 on specified irregularities. The petitioner preferred an appeal to the Joint Collector. The appellate authority declined grant of stay. He thereupon preferred a revision to the District Collector. Thereafter the petitioner filed W.P.No.9114 of 2005 complaining that the District Collector did not pass any orders on his revision against the order of the Joint Collector declining stay. This Court by the Order dated 25-04-2005 disposed of the writ petition directing the District Collector to dispose of the revision of the petitioner, meanwhile directing the respondents to permit the petitioner to distribute the essential commodities after recording the fact that no alternative arrangements were made. The District Collector by the order dated 13-06-2005 disposed of the revision finally observing that the substantive appeal of the petitioner against the order of cancellation of authorization was pending before the Joint Collector. Aggrieved whereby the writ petition is filed.

In the facts and circumstances, this Court considers it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the 3rd respondent-Joint Collector to dispose of the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the order of the 1st respondent dated 14-02-2005 cancelling his authorization, expeditiously and in any event within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order. The decision of the 3rd respondent on the appeal shall be communicated to the petitioner within the aforesaid time. No order as to costs.

_____

GODA RAGHURAM,J

1ST JULY 205

*TSNR

To

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Sangareddy Division, Sangareddy, Medak District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Manoor Mandal, Manoor, Medak District.
3 The Joint Collector (CS) Medak District, at Sangareddy, Medak District.
4 The District Collector, Medak District, at Sangareddy

5. Two CCS to G.P for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings,Hyderabad(OUT)

6. Two C.D.Copies.